# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

STATE OF NEW MEXICO, ex rel.,	)	No. 68CV/941-MV/LFG
STATE ENGINEER, et al.	)	
	)	Rio Chama Adjudication
Plaintiffs	)	, and the second
	)	Pueblo Claims Subproceedings 1
v	)	2
	)	
ROMAN ARAGON, et al.	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
	)	
v ROMAN ARAGON, et al.	) ) ) ) )	Pueblo Claims Subproceedings

## JOINT MOTION TO VACATE ORDERS ESTABLISHING PRETRIAL DEADLINES IN ORDER TO FACILITATE SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS

The parties to this subproceeding jointly move for an order vacating this Court's scheduling orders, orders extending time, and all related pre-trial deadlines, and staying these proceedings to permit the parties to continue and to focus upon ongoing settlement discussions. This motion is filed jointly by all parties to this subproceeding: Ohkay Owingeh, the United States, the State of New Mexico, the City of Española, the Associación de Acéquias Norteñas de Rio Arriba, El Rito Ditch Association, Gallina-Capulin Acequia Association, La Asociación de la Acequias de Rio Tusas, Vallecito y Ojo Caliente, and the Rio Chama Acequia Association. The parties to this subproceeding, along with the parties in *New Mexico v. Abbott*, Nos. 68cv7488 MV/LFG and 70 cv 8650 MV/LFG (consolidated), are engaged in ongoing discussions seeking settlement of all water rights claimed by Ohkay Owingeh and by the United States on the Pueblo's behalf in these two proceedings. The parties in both cases have determined that settlement is feasible.

1. Ohkay Owingeh and the United States, on the Pueblo's behalf, have asserted water rights claims in the Rio Chama stream system and in *New Mexico v. Abbott, supra*.

- Since 2011, the proceedings in *Abbott* are have been stayed to allow the parties to engage in settlement discussions with status reports and requests to extend the stay to be submitted to this Court every four months.
- 2. Ohkay Owingeh has been engaged in settlement discussions with the *Aragon* parties since October, 2013, simultaneously with its discussions with the *Abbott* parties. *See*, *New Mexico v. Abbott, supra*, Joint Status Report, Doc. No. 2932 (March 4, 2013).
- 3. All parties in both cases consolidated their settlement discussions in June, 2014, pursuant to a confidentiality agreement signed by all parties. *See, New Mexico v. Abbott, supra,* Joint Status Report, Doc. No. 2943 (June 6, 2014). The parties in both cases met together at Ohkay Owingeh on October 8, 2014, and the *Aragon* parties agreed to submit this motion seeking a stay.
- 4. The parties in both *Abbott* and *Aragon* have concluded that settlement is feasible, and that they would benefit from the appointment of a federal negotiating team. The parties in both cases submitted on November 7, 2014, a joint request to the Department of the Interior seeking appointment of such a team. The criteria employed by the Department of the Interior for appointment of a team includes a requirement that the parties be unanimous in seeking a federal team. These parties are all in agreement that a federal team should assist in reaching settlement.
- 5. Pursuant to the Court's most recent scheduling order (February 10, 2014, Doc. No. 10985), the next deadline is the State's obligation to disclose its expert witness reports by October 16, 2015. The remaining parties' expert witness disclosures are due April 14, 2016. The parties concur that the expenditure of scarce resources on

the preparation of these reports is unwise in light of the consensus that settlement is feasible.

Accordingly, the undersigned parties to this subproceeding move jointly for an order vacating the deadlines, and staying this subproceeding to allow the parties to devote their resources and attention to settlement of Ohkay Owingeh's water rights claims. The parties seek an initial stay until February 6, 2015, to coincide with the stay issued by this Court in *Abbott*. The parties propose that they submit on February 6, 2015, a single joint status report in both cases and, if appropriate, seek an extension of the stay.

Dated: November 18, 2014

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Scott W. Williams

#### **Attorneys for Ohkay Owingeh**

Curtis G. Berkey (CA State Bar No. 195485)
E-mail: <a href="mailto:cberkey@berkeywilliams.com">cberkey@berkeywilliams.com</a>
Scott W. Williams (CA State Bar No. 097966)
E-mail: <a href="mailto:swilliams@berkeywilliams.com">swilliams@berkeywilliams.com</a>
Berkey Williams LLP
2030 Addison Street, Suite 410
Berkeley, California 94704

Lee Bergen
Bergen Law Offices, LLC
4110 Wolcott Avenue NE, Suite A
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87109
lbergen@nativeamericanlawyers.com

#### **Attorney for the United States**

/s/ Bradley Bridgewater

Bradley Bridgewater
U.S. Department of Justice
South Terrace, Suite 370
999 18<sup>th</sup> Street
Denver, CO 80202
Bradley.S.Bridgewater@usdoj.gov

#### **Attorneys for State of New Mexico**

/s/ Arianne Singer

Arianne Singer
John Stroud
Special Assistant Attorneys General
New Mexico Office of the State Engineer
P.O. Box 25102
Santa Fe, NM 87504
arianne.singer@state.nm.us
jestroud@comcast.net

# Attorneys for City of Española

/s/ Jay Stein

Jay Stein
Seth R. Fullerton
Stein & Brockmann, PA
P.O. Box 2067
Santa Fe, NM 87504-2067
jfstein@newmexicowaterlaw.com
srfullerton@newmexicowaterlaw.com

# Attorney for Rio Chama Acéquias Association

/s/ Peter Thomas White

Peter Thomas White, Esq. Sena Plaza, Suite 50 125 East Palace Avenue Santa Fe, NM 87501 pwhite9098@aol.com

Attorneys for El Rito Ditch Association and its acéquia members; Gallin-Capulin Acéquia Association and its acequia members; La Asociacion de las Acéquias del Rio Tusas, Vallecitos y Ojo Caliente and its acéquia members

/s/ Mary E. Humphrey

Mary E. Humphrey Connie Odé Humphrey & Odé, PC P.O. Box 1574 El Prado, NM 87529 humphrey@newmex.com code@newmex.com

## Attorneys for Associacion de Acéquias Norteñas de Rio Arriba

/s/ John W. Utton

John W. Utton Sheehan & Sheehan, PA P. O. Box 271 Albuquerque, NM 83703-0271 iwu@sheehansheehan.com

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on the 18<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2014, I filed the foregoing electronically through the CM/ECF system, which caused the parties listed on the electronic service list, as more fully set forth in the Notice of Electronic Filing, to be served via electronic mail.

,	/s/ Scott W. Williams	
	Scott W. Williams	